

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

JL, through her next friend, Bruce Thompson, Esq., et al.

Plaintiffs,

v.

No. 12-CV-1145 MV/LAM


NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, et al.,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on State Defendants' Opposed Motion Seeking Litigation Stay Until Such Time as the Court Determines State Defendants' Motions for Qualified Immunity ("Motion to Stay"), [Doc. 221], and DOH Defendants' Motion and Supporting Memorandum for Extension of Time to Respond to Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Doc. 211] Based on Need for Discovery as Shown by Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(d) ("Motion Pursuant to Rule 56(d)"), [Doc. 249]. The Court, having considered the motions, briefs, and relevant law, and being otherwise fully informed, finds that the Motion to Stay [Doc. 221] is denied and the Motion Pursuant to Rule 56(d) [Doc. 249] is granted.¹

SO ORDERED this 29th day of September, 2015.



MARTHA VAZQUEZ
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ This order disposes of the motions at issue. The Court will, however, at a later date, issue a memorandum opinion more fully detailing the rationale for its decisions.